NET ZERO CITIES

EU MISSION PLATFORM

CLIMATE NEUTRAL AND SMART CITIES

PART OF THE
EU MISSIONS
CLIMATE-NEUTRAL & SMART CITIES

Funded by
the European Union

©European Union, 2026

Call for proposals:
Enabling City Transformation 2 (ECT2)

Today's Focus:
Impact Framework & MEL

Information session 3 / 3

9th October 2026

Funded by
the European Union

Pilot Cities and Enabling City transformation Programmes Team



Jessica Fonti
Funded Innovation Programmes Project Manager



Kimmy Lahr
Funded Innovation Programmes Project Officer



Mateusz Hoffmann
Funded Innovation Programmes Project Officer



Nicholas Ansell
NetZeroCities Portfolio Learning and Impact Manager



Nikhil Chaudhary
Funded Innovation Programmes Impact & Learning Orchestrator



Ilenia Piergallini
Funded Innovation Programmes Learning & Impact specialist

ECT team: ect@netzerocities.eu

Funded by the European Union

Overview

- Welcome
- Eligibility & Assessment Criteria
- Grant Management System
- Q&A (remaining time as required)

This event is being recorded

Use the Q&A functionality to ask questions

Re/Name yourself and include your city and department




Funded by
the European Union

How to use the Q&A

1) Type down your questions



2) Vote up the questions



Funded by
the European Union

Call timeline

31 August 2026
Call opens, guidelines published

15, 29 Sep & 9 Oct 2026
Information sessions, all 11.00 CET

3 December 2026
Call deadline, 12.00 CET

Dec 2026 – Jan 2027
Eligibility check, expert assessment, portfolio selection

5 February 2027
Decision communication, with feedback

5–12 February 2027
Appeals and complaints window

19 February 2027
Publication of outcomes and selected cities

1 April 2027
Programme launch, once due diligence complete and grant agreement signed

31 January 2029
Programme concludes



WE ARE HERE!

Funded by the European Union

The six challenge areas



Place based heating and cooling



Nature-based solutions at city scale



Urban energy systems



City Finance innovations for climate neutrality



Large-scale building retrofits and renovations



Decarbonising urban mobility and logistics

Funded by
the European Union

This info session…

Three information sessions

- 15 September – Overview, ambition and approach (this session)
- 29 September – Eligibility, assessment criteria and how to submit
- **9 October – Impact Framework and Monitoring, Evaluation and Learning**

Info at www.netzerocities.eu

We will cover today:

- Evaluation criteria: 'Impact' category
- Impact Pathways
- Impact Framework template: A Guided Tour
- How to frame Intended Outcomes
- Indicator selection (GHG & Co-benefits)
- Sensemaking process

- Please keep questions relevant to the content of this session.

Funded by
the European Union

“Impact” as an important Evaluation & Selection Criteria

Funded by
the European Union

Recap: Assessment Criteria

- Each criterion is scored, on a scale of **0 to 5**, by at least two independent external experts.
- The score across all categories is totalled to produce a ranked list of proposals. The maximum available score is **100 points**.

Criteria grouping	Points available / number of criteria
Mandate to Act	25pts / 3 criteria
Capacity to Act	35pts / 4 criteria
Impact	40pts / 3 criteria

Funded by
the European Union

Impact

- **Impact carries the highest weight in ECT2 (40%):** the focus is durable transformation rather than activity completion
- **Lasting outcomes:** enabling conditions and long-lasting changes that are sustained beyond the project
- **Measurable impacts:** credible and potential GHG reduction and co-benefits, evidenced through the indicators you select
- **Policy and institutionalisation:** credible pathways into policy, governance, standards, regulation, procurement, budgeting, staffing and operational routines.
- **Innovation and learning:** new knowledge and insight on delivery models, captured and shared throughout implementation
- **Scaling and replication:** scaling that unblocks the system constraint at larger scale, with concrete, transferable practice and usable replication outputs (playbooks, templates, standards etc.)

Funded by
the European Union

Impact Scoring Criteria

Criterion	Description
Lasting outcomes and measurable impacts (20 points)	• **Sustainability of outcomes:** The proposal is explicit in how activities can develop enabling conditions or long-lasting changes that are sustained beyond the project duration. (5 points) • **GHG impact monitoring & evidence:** Potential GHG reduction impacts are clarified, and credible approaches for monitoring or evidencing these are identified in terms of indicator selection. (5 points) • **Co-benefits impacts:** The proposal identifies credible social, economic, environmental or resilience-related co-benefits beyond its primary objectives, and credible approaches for monitoring or evidencing these are identified in terms of indicator selection. (5 points) • **Policy & institutionalisation:** The proposal identifies credible pathways through which its outcomes will influence and become embedded in policy, governance arrangements, standards, statutory or regulatory frameworks, procurement or engagement practices, budgeting processes, staffing models, operational routines or other institutional mechanisms beyond the duration of the project. (5 points)
Innovation & learning (5 points)	• **Innovation & learning:** The proposal demonstrates how it could generate new knowledge, data and insights on delivery models and innovative impact pathways relevant to other Mission Cities, and demonstrates a clear commitment to capturing, applying, sharing and disseminating learning throughout implementation. (5 points)
Scaling and replication potential (15 points)	• **Scaling:** The proposal demonstrates how scaling will directly address or unblock the identified system constraint at larger scale. (5 points) • **Transferability:** The proposal clearly articulates what is predicted to be transferable in practice, beyond high-level lessons. (5 points) • **Replicability:** Replication outputs (e.g. playbooks, templates, standards) are intended to be produced; they are concrete, usable and well specified. (5 points)

Funded by
the European Union

ECT2 Impact Pathways

Funded by
the European Union

‘Gardening’ for your city’s systemic transformation

“Enable and scale” **+** ***“unblock and sustain”***


Focus of ECT Call



Sowing

2026


Today



Watering / Sprouting

2027


Year 1



Rooting / Deepening

2028

Year 2



Thriving

2029 & beyond

Your Vision for Challenge Area

ECT Activities

Short-term Changes?


Mid-term Changes?

Long-term Impacts

Funded by the European Union

‘Impact Pathways’ tell a story about how systemic transformation is expected to unfold…


Connected mechanisms through which complex long-term systems transformation is envisioned and managed



… to uncover the most important assumptions and risks

This project has received funding from the H2020 Research and Innovation Programme under grant agreement n°101036519.

ECT2 Impact pathways




Shows how a city progresses from actions to implementation to outcomes and long-term impacts; how real-world change is expected to happen

Funded by the European Union

Impact pathways to measure progress

Your pathways should show:

- what success looks like in addressing systemic barriers within your challenge area
- clear assumptions, learning opportunities, and signals of change
- select the most appropriate indicators to measure, evidence and signal impact
- how results contribute to wider deployment, replicable knowledge, scaling and institutional adoption



Funded by the European Union

Annex 1 Impact Pathways: A helpful reference

Annex 1

ECT2 challenge area briefs (including potential impact pathways)

A1.0 31/08/26

Annex 1 1
Introduction 2
Place-Based Heating and Cooling 3
Why this matters now 3
What is blocking progress 4
Demand signals 4
Impact and Learning Opportunities 4
Potential Impact Pathways 5
Urban Energy Systems 7
Why this matters now 7
What is blocking progress 8
Demand signals 8
Impact and Learning Opportunities 8
Proposed Impact Pathways 9
Large-scale Building Retrofits and Renovations 11

Annex 1 - ECT2 challenge area briefs (including potential impact pathways)

Potential Impact Pathways

The proposed pathways below set out four directions cities could pursue, each pulling a different mix of governance, data, procurement and finance levers. Each assumes a different starting point, including limited resources and data. A good project is one that starts with your city's current situation and focuses on what can be done to remove barriers and enable the conditions for coordinated, placed-based heating and cooling, that can be scaled from neighbourhood-testing to city-wide transformation.

Cities may opt to adopt or adapt one of these proposed pathways or develop their own, depending on local needs, fit and climate strategy priorities.

Pathways	Place Based Thermal Planning and Prioritisation	Inclusive Neighbourhood Heating and Cooling	Local and District Thermal Networks	City-Wide Scale, Replication and Coordination
Main question to shape pathway	How can cities identify and make joined-up heating, cooling, retrofit, public-space and vulnerability decisions?	How can cities deliver a localised, affordable package that combines passive measures, active systems and resident protection?	How can cities establish a viable, low-carbon local or district thermal service (including sources, customers, tariffs and operator responsibilities)?	How can successful place-based approaches become a funded, governed and repeatable city programme rather than remain pilots?
Activity level – where cities intervene within the challenge area	Cities start with limited capacity and/or data. They may draw upon relevant municipal plans or CCC commitments to help identify hotspots or transition areas.	At this stage, cities have identified a priority neighbourhood and assembled basic data and have some engagement with residents and asset owners to be able to begin structuring delivery.	Cities enter with emerging or existing heating or cooling demand, some interest from grid actors and network evidence.	Cities start with evidence from pilots or existing programmes, political sponsorship, and links to city budgets, asset plans and infrastructure renewal cycles.
Intermediate outcomes – what changes during the intervention	Cities move from fragmented evidence and separate sector priorities to a shared spatial baseline and sequenced place-based delivery plan that directs investment to priority areas.	Cities shift from early-stage concepts and pilots towards a procured or procurement-ready neighbourhood package with clear affordability safeguards, responsibilities and performance requirements.	Cities move from an early network concept to a delivery-ready thermal-service proposition with an agreed ownership and operating model, connection strategy, tariff approach and investment case.	Cities move from isolated pilots and one-off projects to a city-wide operating model with standard packages, programme governance, a funded pipeline and clear rules for replication across neighbourhoods.
Long-term outcomes – What changes after the intervention	Over time, capital and delivery capacity are directed to where they can jointly reduce thermal risk, fossil-energy dependence and inequality, making heating and cooling	As the model is repeated, low-carbon thermal comfort becomes accessible at scale, unmanaged demand and fossil-energy use decline, and neighbourhood transformation builds	Cities can connect and decarbonise demand systematically, integrate renewable and recovered heat, retire fossil assets and provide affordable thermal comfort,	Transforms successful pilots into a city-wide transition programme; creating a credible route from local action to sustained, equitable net-zero delivery at city scale.

Funded by the European Union

Possible Impact Pathways: Heating/Cooling Challenge Area

Entry points	Interventions	Outcomes	Impact [Quantified]
Place Based Thermal Planning and Prioritisation	Shared baseline and sequenced delivery plan that directs investment to priority areas.	Heating and cooling decisions become a coherent part of the city's journey to climate-neutrality	**GHG:** Modelled GHG reduction from investment directed to priority areas (t CO_2e/yr) **Co-Ben**: Public capital invested in Climate Action
Inclusive Neighbourhood Heating and Cooling	Procurement-ready neighbourhood package	Low-carbon thermal comfort becomes accessible at scale for citizens and communities	**GHG:** Modelled GHG reduction in dwellings covered by the package (t CO_2e/yr) **Co-Ben:** Energy affordability (as a % of income)
Local and District Thermal Networks	Delivery-ready thermal-service proposition	The infrastructure and market conditions needed for a durable net-zero heat transition are established	**GHG:** Modelled GHG reduction from fossil heat displaced (t CO_2e/yr) **Co-Ben:** External finance attracted
Thermal Networks City-Wide Scale, Replication and Coordination	City-wide operating model	Successful pilots build city capabilities for city-wide transition	**GHG:** Modelled GHG reduction across neighbourhoods using the standard package (t CO_2e/yr) **Co-Ben:** Follow-up projects or districts (number of)

Funded by the European Union

Possible Impact Pathways: Mobility/Logistics Challenge Area

Entry points	Interventions	Outcomes	Impact [Quantified]
Integrated Mobility and Logistics Governance	Shared governance framework with agreed priorities, datasets, responsibilities and delivery pipelines	Faster, coordinated delivery of mobility measures; stronger institutional capacity and investment readiness	**GHG:** Modelled GHG reduction from measures in the shared delivery pipeline (t CO_2e/yr) **Co-Ben:** Public capital invested in climate action (€)
Zero-Emission Access and Managed Curb Systems	Integrated access-management system combining enforcement, kerb management and loading operations	Reduced transport emissions, improved air quality and more efficient street-space allocation	**GHG:** Modelled GHG reduction from vehicle trips covered by the access-management system (t CO_2e/yr) **Co-Ben:** Improved air quality (PM2.5 µg/m^3)
Consolidated and Zero-Emission Urban Logistics Networks	Structured network of hubs, operators and delivery models with clear commercial and operational foundations	Lower freight emissions, wider adoption of zero-emission delivery, less congestion	**GHG:** Modelled GHG reduction from freight shifted to the hub network (t CO_2e/yr) **Co-Ben:** External finance attracted (€)
Car-Dependence Reduction and Street-Space Transformation	Coordinated programmes that permanently reallocate kerb space alongside sustainable mobility and public-space improvements	Reduced car dependence; safer, quieter streets and more attractive public spaces	**GHG:** Modelled GHG reduction from trips shifted from private car in reallocated corridors (t CO_2e/yr) **Co-Ben:** Population exposed to noise above 55 dB (%)

Funded by the European Union

A Guided Tour: Filling the Impact Framework template

Section 1 (Intended Outcomes)
Section 2 (GHG Impacts)
Section 3 (Co-benefits)

Funded by
the European Union

Before we take a tour of the Impact Framework template

- See it as your canvas for detailing your **impact pathways & MEL to achieve the vision**…
- Then fill in the template with the details of what you intend to measure, and how?
- …and, to drive this, what you will target in **the timeline of the interventions (22 months)** – to test your impact hypothesis and assumptions and learn from this journey…


*But please bear in mind **the Assessment Criteria** in the Call Guidelines!*

Ultimately, it is against these points that your Impact Framework will be assessed in the application stage.

Once your city is selected, we will work with you to refine your Impact Framework (during Bootcamp); improve what/how you will measure impact, outcomes and generate insights

Funded by
the European Union


MEL: A core delivery and improvement process



[During Proposal] → [After Selection]

Funded by
the European Union

What does ECT2 Impact Framework template cover?



Call for Proposals:

NetZeroCities

Enabling City Transformation 2

Impact Framework Template

Insert the name of your project/city here

This document covers proposals for funding under Horizon Europe, Grant Agreement number: 101261829 — SGA3-NZC

Call ID: NZC-SGA3-HE-202608

Publication Date: 31 August 2026

Version of the document: A1.0 (31.08.2026)

netzerocities.eu

Table of Contents

Introduction to ECT2 Impact Framework Template 3

1 Intended Outcomes for your project's Challenge Area 5

1.1 Portfolio of activities that will contribute to intended change 9

1.2 Impact Pathways: Signals of progress towards intended change 12

2 Long-term Impacts: GHG Indicators 16

2.1 GHG Impacts (Standardised and Mandatory) 17

2.2 GHG Impacts (Customised according to City/Project) 18

3 Long-term Impacts: Co-benefit Indicators 19

3.1 Co-benefits (Standardised and Mandatory) 20

3.2 Co-benefits (Customised according to City/Project) 21

NOTE: ***Indicators and outcomes are a starting point, not frozen – they can be refined during grant agreement preparation***

Funded by the European Union

Any questions or comments?



©European Union, 2026

PART OF THE
EU MISSIONS
CLIMATE-NEUTRAL & SMART CITIES

Funded by
the European Union

ECT2 Impact Framework:

Intended Outcomes & Signals of Change

Section 1 (Intended Outcomes)

Funded by
the European Union

1. Start from your ECT2 challenge

Impact Framework · Section 1

➢ Challenge Area title

Use the headline of one of the six challenge areas, as it appears in the ECT2 challenge area briefs.

➢ Your city's challenge description

In 3–5 sentences: the specific barrier in your city that your project will work on. Who is involved, what is stuck, and why it matters now.

Example: Neutralia (fictional city)

ECT2 Challenge Area title

Decarbonising Urban Mobility and Logistics

Your city's challenge description

Neutralia has a mobility plan, a logistics study and a commitment to a zero-emission zone for deliveries by 2028, but it lacks a coordinated way to implement them. **Five municipal departments and the main delivery operators take decisions on streets, loading and parking separately, without shared data, and no single body is responsible for the zone.** With decisions on housing, depots and parking due within the next two years, the choices made now will shape how people and goods move through the city for decades.

Too general

Our urban logistics system is fragmented.

Specific

Five departments share street-space decisions, and no one is responsible for the 2028 zero-emission zone.

Funded by the European Union

The barrier becomes the «from» of your Intended Outcomes!

2. Intended Outcomes: what do we mean?

Three guiding questions help you articulate each change your project seeks

Impact Framework · Section 1

1 WHAT CHANGES DO YOU ENVISION

From barrier to ideal state

What does success look like if implementation works well?

FROM	TO
Fragmented mandates	**Shared ownership**

2 WHO CHANGES, AND HOW

Actors you influence

You don't control them. What will they do differently?

- behaviours
- mindsets
- practices
- relationships
- policies
- solutions adopted
- funding secured

3 WHAT IT RELIES ON

Assumptions and barriers

What needs to be in place for the change to happen, and what could block it?

- **Assumptions that must hold**
- **Systemic barriers that could block it**

KEEP IN MIND An outcome: change in what **other actors/stakeholders** do, not an activity you deliver or an output you produce. Your project contributes to it and it cannot claim it alone.

Funded by the European Union

Section 1 of your Impact Framework

ECT2 Challenge Area Title	Your Text here: Please write the headline of your selected Challenge from the ECT2 Challenge Area Briefs
Your City's Challenge Description	Your text here: Please briefly describe which specific challenge(s) your project/city will address related to the above Challenge Area (3-5 sentences)

One specific barrier in your city: *who* is involved, *what* is stuck, *why* now

Intended Outcome No. 1: Headline
Insert text here: Short headline or a brief title of the Outcome in one sentence
Intended Outcome No. 1: Detailed Description
Text: Please write your narrative Outcome description in this blank space (up to 250 words)

Describe how other actors will change, not what *you* will do. Keep the headline short.

Funded by
the European Union

3. How will your ECT2 project contribute?

Start from the change, then work back to who you need to influence and what you will do

Impact Framework – Section 1.1

Example: Neutralia (fictional city), Outcome 1

Intended Outcome 1

Five departments decide together on street space, with one accountable lead

(Impact Pathway: Integrated Mobility and Logistics Governance pathway)

Who do you need to influence?

Transport, planning, public works, parking and enforcement

City council

Logistics operators

What will the project do to influence those actors?

- Bring departments and operators into one steering group that meets every quarter
- Organise a peer exchange with a city that already runs joint street-space decisions
- Co-draft a joint decision protocol with the five departments, for council adoption

Template: **Outcome headline**

Copy the headline word for word from Section 1, in the same order.

Template: **Levers & stakeholders**

Tick levers (here: governance and policy; capacities and capabilities; partnerships and engagement) and stakeholder groups.

Template: **Project's contribution**

Up to 150 words on how your activities will influence these actors.

Enabling conditions count as outcomes when you describe what actors do

A signed governance charter is an output. Departments using it to take decisions together is the outcome.

Funded by the European Union

Section 1.1 of your Impact Framework

1.1 Portfolio of activities that will contribute to intended change

For each Intended Outcome, please specify in detail the systemic levers being deployed, the stakeholders you can influence, and the activities through which you intend to implement change. Please write the narrative description in full sentences.

Intended Outcome No. 1: Headline	
Insert text here: please repeat the Outcome title from previous Section 1	
Systemic lever of change your project will use to produce the Outcome: *Select one or more as applicable*	**Key stakeholders group your project can influence to produce the Outcome: *Select one or more as applicable***
☐ Technology and infrastructure ☐ Governance and policy ☐ Financing and funding ☐ Social innovation ☐ Partnerships and engagement ☐ Capacities and capabilities ☐ Data and digitalisation ☐ Procurement	☐ Local government (city councils, municipal departments, climate agencies, politicians etc.) ☐ Regional government ☐ National government ☐ Public-private partnerships ☐ Private sector (industry, businesses, SMEs etc.) ☐ Civil society, community orgs or NGOs ☐ Academia/Research Institutions ☐ Funders /Investors/Financial institutions ☐ Citizens/Residents ☐ Peer or partner city ☐ Other (please specify) _____
Project's contribution to this Outcome *Which are the project activities through which your project intends to influence stakeholders? How will the implementation process drive this change?*	
Your text: please write your project's contribution here (up to 150 words)	

Consistency is key ! Same headline, word by word as in Section 1

Tick **levers** and **stakeholders**, then say in up to 150 words how your activities will influence them. You can refine this after selection.

Funded by the European Union

4. How will you know the change is happening?

Signals of progress: smaller, observable steps towards each outcome

Impact Framework – Section 1.2

Example: Neutralia, Outcome 1

Early changes *Expect to see*

Change is taking root

The council appoints a lead for the zone. Dept. and operators start sharing delivery data.

Intermediate changes *Like to see*

Change deepens or spreads

Dept. and operators deliver the zone's first phase together and take the upcoming housing, depot and parking decisions jointly.

Later changes *Love to see*

Change holds and scales

Joint governance is part of the city's rules and budget and covers all street-space decisions. Operators invest in zero-emission fleets, and neighbouring cities adopt the model.

Within the 22-month project

Within or beyond the project


Signals are your best guess today

Opportunity to revisit them after selection .

Funded by the European Union

Section 1.2 of your Impact Framework

Intended Outcome No. 1: Headline
Insert text here: please repeat the Outcome title from previous Section 1
Early Signals of Change (Expect-to-See) *What are the short-term, relatively easy-to-observe signs that show that change is taking root? This is what you would expect within the scope of your project.*
Your text: describe the Outcome's early signals of change here (up to 150 words)
Intermediate Signals of Change (Like-to-See) *What are the medium-term signs that change is widely adopted, deepened or expanded? This is what you would like to see within the scope of your project.*
Your text: describe the Outcome's intermediate signals of change here (up to 150 words)
Later Signals of Change (Love-to-See) *Which long-term signs show that the change is holding (e.g. institutionalised, scaled or sustaining itself)? Consider this as continued direction of impact pathways that extend well beyond 22-month project timeline. This is what you would love to see happen within or beyond the scope of your project.*
Your text: describe the Outcome's later signals of change here (up to 150 words)



Funded by
the European Union

Any questions or comments?



©European Union, 2026

PART OF THE
EU MISSIONS
CLIMATE-NEUTRAL & SMART CITIES

Funded by
the European Union

Impact Framework: Parts 2 & 3

A Guided Tour through the template

Section 2 (GHG Impacts)
Section 3 (Co-benefits)

Funded by
the European Union

Your impact Indicators selection

Indicator selection from the perspective of:

- Requirements
- Needs
- Expectations
- ...

1

Indicator selection from the perspective of their roles / functions:

- To educate
- To inform & engage
- To signal progress
- Tell stories
- ...

2

Indicator selection from the perspective of:

- Resources
- Capacities
- Stakeholders
- Communication
- Future investment
- …

3

How to make data and indicators work for your city's challenge area?

Funded by
the European Union

Mixed evidence for effective MEL

(Gather Insights)

Intended Outcomes

+

Indicators (Analyse data)

Mixed Method Diagnostic Evidence

Qualitative Evidence

- Medical history
- Current symptoms
- Scans
- Biopsies
- Other qualitative diagnostics

Quantitative Evidence

- Blood & urine tests
- Blood pressure
- Other quantitative diagnostics

Synthesis & Diagnostic Interpretation

Clinical judgement:

Synthesis & interpretation of the qualitative & quantitative evidence as a set for each health condition



Plan for treatment & progress tracking (relative to initial diagnostic baselines)

… to measure & learn from what matters the most


Funded by the European Union

Consider the types of impact for indicator selection

- **Direct GHG Emission reductions:** These are exact and actual emission reduction achieved through the implementation of specific ECT2 activities

- **Estimated GHG Emission reductions:** Approximate or projected reductions in GHG emissions expected from implementing the ECT2 activities – which may not happen within project duration. Includes scenario models, calculated trajectories of emissions, estimates based on downscaled national or regional data and estimated reductions from the eventual scaling up of a ECT activity to a larger scale.

- **GHG Emissions targeted/addressed by ECT2 activities:** For actions that focus on creating enabling conditions (governance models, capacity building, ecosystem development, citizen engagement, policy/regulation etc), you can estimate the approximate figure of emission reductions that the ECT activities may directly or indirectly contributing towards.



Funded by the European Union

Diverse Indicator Set: Categories to choose from…

GHG Impacts

- Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)

Co-benefits

- Public Health & Environment
- Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact Co Benefits
- Economy
- Resource Efficiency
- Biodiversity
- Finance and Investment
- Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology

Required: STANDARD

Recommended: CUSTOM

Funded by the European Union

ECT2 Indicator Set (45 indicators to choose from)

	GHG Emissions/Impact Domain	Subdomain	Indicator	Suggested Unit of Measurement
1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Total GHG emissions	Total greenhouse gas emissions per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
2	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Stationary energy	GHG emission per year from stationary energy per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Transport	GHG emission from transport per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
4	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Waste	GHG emission from waste per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
5	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Industrial processes and product use	GHG emission from industrial processes and product use per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
6	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Agriculture, forestry and land use (AFOLU)	GHG emission from agriculture, forestry and land use per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
7	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Grid supplied energy	GHG emission from grid supplied energy per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
8	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Energy Consumption	Change in the total energy consumption per year	kWh/year
9	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Energy Efficiency	Change in energy efficiency over the lifetime of the project	%
10	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Share of Renewable Energies	Change in the energy mix over the lifetime of the project	%
11	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Carbon capture and residual emissions	Amount of permanent sequestration of GHG within city boundary	t CO2 equivalents / year
12	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	GHG emissions	Change of the greenhouse gas emissions per sector during the lifetime of the p	t CO2 equivalents / year
13	Public Health and Environment	Air quality	Improved air quality	Highest annual mean of PM2.5 concentr
14	Public Health and Environment	Noise	Reduction of noise pollution	% of population exposed to avg. LDEN >
15	Public Health and Environment	Health	Improved physical and mental wellbeing	Likert scale; 5 scales to be determined
16	Public Health and Environment	Quality of life	Perceived change in the quality of life	Likert scale; 5 scales to be determined
17	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Citizen & Communities Participation	Improved citizen participation	# of citizens engaged through the Pilot
18	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Capacity of the public administration	Improvement in skills and awareness	# of public officers trained through the
19	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Social cohesion	Affordability of housing and energy	% of disposable household income spe
20	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Digitalisation	Improved acceptance of digital solutions	total # of users per digital solution
21	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Social Innovation	Number of participative activities implemented per stakeholder group	total # of counseled activities
22	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Scientific or Communication Outreach of the project	Scientific publications, social campaigns etc	total # of scientific publications
23	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Upscaling & Replication	Number of follow-up projects or districts	total # of follow-up projects
24	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Green ICT and Smart Metering	% of households and buildings with reduced energy consumption as a consequence of installing smart energy metres	% of households
25	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Green ICT and Smart Metering	% of households and buildings with reduced water consumption as a consequence of installing smart water meters	% of households
26	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Green ICT and Smart Metering	% of municipal buildings equipped with building energy management systems	% of public buildings
27	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	EGovernment	% of city services available online	% increase of total services
28	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Access to information	Business-to-Government (B2G) data sharing	# of Private Datasets Shared with the Ci
29	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Urban Data Platforms	Usage of Urban Data Platforms	# Active Users / Day
30	Economy	Investment in R&I	Improved investments in climate change action	€ invested over the lifetime of the pilot
31	Economy	Skilled Jobs & Employment	Newly created sustainable jobs	total # of newly created jobs
32	Economy	Technological readiness	Number of solutions suggested for implementation in local strategies	total # of impemented solutions over th
33	Economy	Local Entrepreneurship & Local Businesses	Creation of Start-ups, accelerators or tech innovation	total # of start ups created during the li
34	Economy	Increase in Efficiency	Savings in working time achieved	Working hours / per year saved
35	Economy	Revenues generated	Revenues generated by the project	total € during the lifetime of the projec
36	Finance and Investment	Public Spending	Public Capital Invested in Climate Action Projects	EUR thousand/million or % increase
37	Finance and Investment	External Financing	Capital Attracted and Invested in Climate Action Projects from External Finance	EUR thousand/million or % increase
38	Finance and Investment	Capital Efficiency	Emission Reductions Return on Invested Capital	EUR thousand/million [Total Capital In
39	Resource Efficiency	Waste management and efficiency	Urban waste reduction; Biowaste recovery	% of recycled domestic waste of the tot
40	Resource Efficiency	Circular Economy	Re-use of material during construction or renovation	% of recycled construction material of th used in the process
41	Resource Efficiency	Water Management	Improved water management	Household water consumption [litres/c
42	Resource Efficiency	Land use management	Improved land use management practices (e.g. urban greening)	m² of public green space / inhabitant
43	Biodiversity	Urban Forestry Plantation and Improved Plant Health	Percentage of tree canopy within the city	% of the municipal area
44	Biodiversity	Non-Invasive Species and Pollinators	Change in the number of species of birds in built-up areas	% of change in species
45	Biodiversity	Ecological Habitat Connection	Structural connectivity of green spaces	Degree of physical ("structural") connec environments within a defined urban a

Funded
the Euro

Combination of Indicators to measure impact

DOMAIN	SUBDOMAIN	INDICATOR	SUGGESTED UNIT OF MEASUREMENT
Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Total GHG emissions	Total greenhouse gas emissions per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	Stationary energy	GHG emission per year from stationary energy per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	Transport	GHG emission from transport per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	Waste	GHG emission from waste per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	Industrial processes and product use	GHG emission from industrial processes and product use per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	Agriculture, forestry and land use (AFOLU)	GHG emission from agriculture, forestry and land use per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	Grid supplied energy	GHG emission from grid supplied energy per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	Energy Consumption	Change in the total energy consumption per year	kWh/year
	Energy Efficiency	Change in energy efficiency over the lifetime of the project	%
	Share of Renewable Energies	Change in the energy mix over the lifetime of the project	%
	Carbon capture and residual emissions	Amount of permanent sequestration of GHG within city boundary	t CO2 equivalents / year
	GHG emissions	Change of the greenhouse gas emissions per sector during the lifetime of the project	t CO2 equivalents / year
Public Health & Environment	Air quality	Improved air quality	Highest annual mean of PM2.5 concentration recorded [µg PM2.5 / m³]
	Noise	Reduction of noise pollution	% of population exposed to avg. LDEN > 55dB (annual average)
	Health	Improved physical and mental wellbeing	Likert scale; 5 scales to be determined in local survey
	Quality of Life	Perceived change in the quality of life	Likert scale; 5 scales to be determined in local survey
Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Citizen & Communities Participation	Improved citizen participation	# of citizens engaged through the Pilot activities
	Capacity of the public administration	Improvement in skills and awareness	# of public officers trained through the Pilot activities
	Social cohesion	Affordability of housing and energy	% of disposable household income spent on housing and energy
	Digitalisation	Improved acceptance of digital solutions	total # of users per digital solution
	Social Innovation	Number of participative activities implemented per stakeholder group	total # of counseled activities
	Scientific or Communication Outreach of the pro...	Scientific publications, social campaigns etc	total # of scientific publications
	Upscaling & Replication	Number of follow-up projects or districts	total # of follow-up projects
Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Green ICT and Smart Metering	% of households and buildings with reduced energy consumption as a consequence of installing smart energy meters	% of households
		% of households and buildings with reduced water consumption as a consequence of installing smart water meters	% of households
		% of municipal buildings equipped with building energy management systems	% of public buildings
	EGovernment	% of city services available online	% of total services
	Access to information	Business-to-government (B2G) data sharing	# of Private Datasets Shared with the City / Local Authority
	Urban Data Platforms	Usage of Urban Data Platforms	# Users /Day
Economy	Investment in R&I	Improved investments in climate change action	[invested over the lifetime of the pilot project
	Skilled Jobs & Employment	Newly created sustainable jobs	total # of newly created jobs
	Technological readiness	Number of solutions suggested for implementation in local strategies	total # of implemented solutions over the lifetime of the project
	Local Entrepreneurship & Local Businesses	Creation of Start-ups, accelerators or tech innovation	total # of start ups created during the lifetime of the project
	Increase in Efficiency	Savings in working time achieved	Working hours / per year saved
	Revenues generated	Revenues generated by the project	total [during the lifetime of the project excluding funding
Finance and Investment	Public Spending	Capital Invested in Climate Action Projects per Capita	EUR thousand
	External Spending	Capital Invested in Climate Action Projects from External Finance	EUR million
		Coverage of Climate Finance Gap	% of Capital Deficit Covered
	Capital Efficiency	Emission Return on Invested Capital	EUR million
	Fiscal Responsibility	Cost Coverage	% of Costs Covered
Resource Efficiency	Waste management and efficiency	Urban waste reduction; Biowaste recovery	% of recycled domestic waste of the total domestic waste generation
	Circular Economy	Re-use of material during construction or renovation	% of recycled construction material of the total construction material used in the process
	Water Management	Improved water management	Household water consumption [l /capita/day]
	Land use management	Improved land use management practices (e.g. urban greening)	m² of public green space / inhabitant
Biodiversity	Urban Forestry Plantation and Improved Plant Health	Percentage of tree canopy within the city	% of the municipal area
	Non-Invasive Species and Pollinators	Change in the number of species of birds in built-up areas	% of change in species
	Ecological Habitat Connection	Structural connectivity of green spaces	Degree of physical ("structural") connectivity between natural environments within a defined urban area

Standardised Indicators

+

Project-specific Customised Indicators

Funded by the European Union

2.1 GHG Impacts (Standard)

Intended Outcome *(Which Outcome will this indicator measure the progress towards?)*	**GHG Emissions Impact Domain**	**Impact Sub-domain**	**Quantitative Indicator**	**Metric or Unit** *(How will you measure this impact?)*
Please repeat below the same Outcome headlines from Section 1	**Select one or more from –** ▪ All vehicles and transport (mobile energy) ▪ Consumption of electricity generated for buildings, facilities, & infrastructure ▪ Consumption of non-electricity energy for thermal uses in buildings & facilities ▪ Land use (including agriculture, forestry, and other land uses) ▪ Multi-sector waste management and disposal ▪ Industrial process emissions	**Select from as applicable –** ▪ GHG emissions ▪ Total GHG emissions ▪ Stationary energy ▪ Transport ▪ Waste ▪ Industrial processes and product use ▪ Agriculture, forestry, and land use (AFOLU) ▪ Grid supplied energy ▪ Energy Consumption ▪ Energy Efficiency ▪ Share of Renewable Energies ▪ Carbon capture and residual emissions	Select from the suggested list of 12 indicators in NZC ECT2 Indicator Set as applicable	Select from suggested list of units in NZC ECT2 Indicator Set or add your own as applicable
Your text: Outcome title	Your text: GHG Emission Impact domain	Your text: GHG Emission sub-domain		

***Note:* Exact figures per indicator ARE NOT needed at this stage.**

Only names of indicators and units.

Approx./estimated figures may be included in the descriptive question

Funded by the European Union

ECT2 Indicator Set (12 Standard GHG Indicators to select from)

	GHG Emissions/Impact Domain	Subdomain	Indicator	Suggested Unit of Measurement
1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Total GHG emissions	Total greenhouse gas emissions per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
2	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Stationary energy	GHG emission per year from stationary energy per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Transport	GHG emission from transport per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
4	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Waste	GHG emission from waste per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
5	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Industrial processes and product use	GHG emission from industrial processes and product use per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
6	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Agriculture, forestry and land use (AFOLU)	GHG emission from agriculture, forestry and land use per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
7	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Grid supplied energy	GHG emission from grid supplied energy per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
8	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Energy Consumption	Change in the total energy consumption per year	kWh/year
9	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Energy Efficiency	Change in energy efficiency over the lifetime of the project	%
10	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Share of Renewable Energies	Change in the energy mix over the lifetime of the project	%
11	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Carbon capture and residual emissions	Amount of permanent sequestration of GHG within city boundary	t CO2 equivalents / year
12	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	GHG emissions	Change of the greenhouse gas emissions per sector during the lifetime of the p	t CO2 equivalents / year
13	Public Health and Environment	Air quality	Improved air quality	Highest annual mean of PM2.5 concentr
14	Public Health and Environment	Noise	Reduction of noise pollution	% of population exposed to avg. LDEN >
15	Public Health and Environment	Health	Improved physical and mental wellbeing	Likert scale; 5 scales to be determined
16	Public Health and Environment	Quality of life	Perceived change in the quality of life	Likert scale; 5 scales to be determined
17	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Citizen & Communities Participation	Improved citizen participation	# of citizens engaged through the Pilot
18	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Capacity of the public administration	Improvement in skills and awareness	# of public officers trained through the
19	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Social cohesion	Affordability of housing and energy	% of disposable household income spe
20	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Digitalisation	Improved acceptance of digital solutions	total # of users per digital solution
21	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Social Innovation	Number of participative activities implemented per stakeholder group	total # of counseled activities
22	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Scientific or Communication Outreach of the project	Scientific publications, social campaigns etc	total # of scientific publications
23	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Upscaling & Replication	Number of follow-up projects or districts	total # of follow-up projects
24	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Green ICT and Smart Metering	% of households and buildings with reduced energy consumption as a consequence of installing smart energy metres	% of households
25	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Green ICT and Smart Metering	% of households and buildings with reduced water consumption as a consequence of installing smart water meters	% of households
26	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Green ICT and Smart Metering	% of municipal buildings equipped with building energy management systems	% of public buildings
27	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	EGovernment	% of city services available online	% increase of total services
28	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Access to information	Business-to-Government (B2G) data sharing	# of Private Datasets Shared with the Ci
29	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Urban Data Platforms	Usage of Urban Data Platforms	# Active Users / Day
30	Economy	Investment in R&I	Improved investments in climate change action	€ invested over the lifetime of the pilot
31	Economy	Skilled Jobs & Employment	Newly created sustainable jobs	total # of newly created jobs
32	Economy	Technological readiness	Number of solutions suggested for implementation in local strategies	total # of impemented solutions over th
33	Economy	Local Entrepreneurship & Local Businesses	Creation of Start-ups, accelerators or tech innovation	total # of start ups created during the li
34	Economy	Increase in Efficiency	Savings in working time achieved	Working hours / per year saved
35	Economy	Revenues generated	Revenues generated by the project	total € during the lifetime of the projec
36	Finance and Investment	Public Spending	Public Capital Invested in Climate Action Projects	EUR thousand/million or % increase
37	Finance and Investment	External Financing	Capital Attracted and Invested in Climate Action Projects from External Finance	EUR thousand/million or % increase
38	Finance and Investment	Capital Efficiency	Emission Reductions Return on Invested Capital	EUR thousand/million [Total Capital In
39	Resource Efficiency	Waste management and efficiency	Urban waste reduction; Biowaste recovery	% of recycled domestic waste of the tot
40	Resource Efficiency	Circular Economy	Re-use of material during construction or renovation	% of recycled construction material of th used in the process
41	Resource Efficiency	Water Management	Improved water management	Household water consumption [litres/c
42	Resource Efficiency	Land use management	Improved land use management practices (e.g. urban greening)	m² of public green space / inhabitant
43	Biodiversity	Urban Forestry Plantation and Improved Plant Health	Percentage of tree canopy within the city	% of the municipal area
44	Biodiversity	Non-Invasive Species and Pollinators	Change in the number of species of birds in built-up areas	% of change in species
45	Biodiversity	Ecological Habitat Connection	Structural connectivity of green spaces	Degree of physical ("structural") connec environments within a defined urban a

Less is more!

Funded
the Euro

2.2 GHG Impacts (Custom)

Intended Outcome *(Which Outcome will this indicator measure the progress towards?)*	**GHG Emission Domain**	**Emission Sub-domain**	**Your Quantitative Indicator**	**Your metric or Unit** *(How will you measure this impact?)*
Please repeat below the same Outcome headlines from Section 1	**Select one or more from –** ▪ All vehicles and transport (mobile energy) ▪ Consumption of electricity generated for buildings, facilities, & infrastructure ▪ Consumption of non-electricity energy for thermal uses in buildings & facilities ▪ Land use (including agriculture, forestry, and other land uses) ▪ Multi-sector waste management and disposal ▪ Industrial process emissions	**Select from as applicable –** ▪ GHG emissions ▪ Total GHG emissions ▪ Stationary energy ▪ Transport ▪ Waste ▪ Industrial processes and product use ▪ Agriculture, forestry, and land use (AFOLU) ▪ Grid supplied energy ▪ Energy Consumption ▪ Energy Efficiency ▪ Share of Renewable Energies ▪ Carbon capture and residual emissions	Please add your own as applicable	Please add your own as applicable
Your text: Outcome title	Your text: GHG Emission Impact domain	Your text: GHG Emission su domain		

Note: ***Exact figures per indicator ARE NOT needed at this stage.***

Only names of indicators and units.

Approx./estimated figures may be included in the descriptive question

Funded by the European Union

ECT2 Indicator Set (33 Standard Co-benefit Indicators to select from)

	GHG Emissions/Impact Domain	Subdomain	Indicator	Suggested Unit of Measurement
1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Total GHG emissions	Total greenhouse gas emissions per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
2	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Stationary energy	GHG emission per year from stationary energy per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Transport	GHG emission from transport per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
4	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Waste	GHG emission from waste per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
5	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Industrial processes and product use	GHG emission from industrial processes and product use per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
6	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Agriculture, forestry and land use (AFOLU)	GHG emission from agriculture, forestry and land use per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
7	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Grid supplied energy	GHG emission from grid supplied energy per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
8	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Energy Consumption	Change in the total energy consumption per year	kWh/year
9	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Energy Efficiency	Change in energy efficiency over the lifetime of the project	%
10	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Share of Renewable Energies	Change in the energy mix over the lifetime of the project	%
11	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Carbon capture and residual emissions	Amount of permanent sequestration of GHG within city boundary	t CO2 equivalents / year
12	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	GHG emissions	Change of the greenhouse gas emissions per sector during the lifetime of the p	t CO2 equivalents / year
13	Public Health and Environment	Air quality	Improved air quality	Highest annual mean of PM2.5 concen
14	Public Health and Environment	Noise	Reduction of noise pollution	% of population exposed to avg. LDEN >
15	Public Health and Environment	Health	Improved physical and mental wellbeing	Likert scale; 5 scales to be determined
16	Public Health and Environment	Quality of life	Perceived change in the quality of life	Likert scale; 5 scales to be determined
17	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Citizen & Communities Participation	Improved citizen participation	# of citizens engaged through the Pilot
18	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Capacity of the public administration	Improvement in skills and awareness	# of public officers trained through the
19	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Social cohesion	Affordability of housing and energy	% of disposable household income spe
20	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Digitalisation	Improved acceptance of digital solutions	total # of users per digital solution
21	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Social Innovation	Number of participative activities implemented per stakeholder group	total # of counseled activities
22	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Scientific or Communication Outreach of the project	Scientific publications, social campaigns etc	total # of scientific publications
23	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Upscaling & Replication	Number of follow-up projects or districts	total # of follow-up projects
24	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Green ICT and Smart Metering	% of households and buildings with reduced energy consumption as a consequence of installing smart energy metres	% of households
25	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Green ICT and Smart Metering	% of households and buildings with reduced water consumption as a consequence of installing smart water meters	% of households
26	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Green ICT and Smart Metering	% of municipal buildings equipped with building energy management systems	% of public buildings
27	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	EGovernment	% of city services available online	% increase of total services
28	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Access to information	Business-to-Government (B2G) data sharing	# of Private Datasets Shared with the Ci
29	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Urban Data Platforms	Usage of Urban Data Platforms	# Active Users / Day
30	Economy	Investment in R&I	Improved investments in climate change action	€ invested over the lifetime of the pilot
31	Economy	Skilled Jobs & Employment	Newly created sustainable jobs	total # of newly created jobs
32	Economy	Technological readiness	Number of solutions suggested for implementation in local strategies	total # of impemented solutions over th
33	Economy	Local Entrepreneurship & Local Businesses	Creation of Start-ups, accelerators or tech innovation	total # of start ups created during the li
34	Economy	Increase in Efficiency	Savings in working time achieved	Working hours / per year saved
35	Economy	Revenues generated	Revenues generated by the project	total € during the lifetime of the projec
36	Finance and Investment	Public Spending	Public Capital Invested in Climate Action Projects	EUR thousand/million or % increase
37	Finance and Investment	External Financing	Capital Attracted and Invested in Climate Action Projects from External Finance	EUR thousand/million or % increase
38	Finance and Investment	Capital Efficiency	Emission Reductions Return on Invested Capital	EUR thousand/million [Total Capital In
39	Resource Efficiency	Waste management and efficiency	Urban waste reduction; Biowaste recovery	% of recycled domestic waste of the tot
40	Resource Efficiency	Circular Economy	Re-use of material during construction or renovation	% of recycled construction material of th used in the process
41	Resource Efficiency	Water Management	Improved water management	Household water consumption [litres/c
42	Resource Efficiency	Land use management	Improved land use management practices (e.g. urban greening)	m² of public green space / inhabitant
43	Biodiversity	Urban Forestry Plantation and Improved Plant Health	Percentage of tree canopy within the city	% of the municipal area
44	Biodiversity	Non-Invasive Species and Pollinators	Change in the number of species of birds in built-up areas	% of change in species
45	Biodiversity	Ecological Habitat Connection	Structural connectivity of green spaces	Degree of physical ("structural") connec environments within a defined urba

Less is more!

Funded by the Euro

3.1 Co-benefits (Standard/Mandatory)

Intended Outcome *(Which Outcome will this indicator measure the progress towards?)*	**Co-benefit Impact Domain**	**Impact Sub-domain**	**Quantitative Indicator**	**Metric or Unit** *(How will you measure this impact?)*
Please repeat below the same Outcome headlines from Section 1	Select from as applicable – ▪ Public Health and environment ▪ Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact ▪ Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology ▪ Economy ▪ Finance and Investment ▪ Resource efficiency ▪ Biodiversity	Select from 31 recommended Co-benefit Sub-domains from the NZC ECT2 Indicator Set (please see excel spreadsheet in the Application Templates section of the Call website)	Select from the suggested list of 33 indicators in NZC ECT2 Indicator Set	Select from suggested list of units in NZC ECT2 Indicator Set or add your own as applicable
Your text: Outcome title	Your text: Co-benefit Impact domain	Your text: Co-benefit sub-domain	Your text: Indicator title	Your text: Metric title

Funded by
the European Union

3.2 Co-benefits (Custom)

Intended Outcome *(Which Outcome will this indicator measure the progress towards?)*	**Co-benefit Impact Domain**	**Impact Sub-domain**	**Quantitative Indicator**	**Metric or Unit** *(How will you measure this impact?)*
Please repeat below the same Outcome headlines from Section 1	Select from as applicable – ▪ Public Health and environment ▪ Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact ▪ Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology ▪ Economy ▪ Finance and Investment ▪ Resource efficiency ▪ Biodiversity	▪ Select from 31 recommended Co-benefit Sub-domains from the NZC ECT2 Indicator Set (please see excel spreadsheet in the Application Templates section of the Call website)	Please add your own as applicable	Please add your own as applicable
Your text: Outcome title	Your text: Co-benefit Impact domain	Your text: Co-benefit sub-domain	Your text: Indicator title	Your text: Metric title

Funded by
the European Union

Key Steps towards effective ECT2 MEL


1. Check the list of indicators in the **ECT Indicator Set (45 indicators)** and select those that are most relevant for your activities and informed by your impact pathways.
2. **Please do not forget to include standardised indicators for GHG and co-benefits, this is mandatory (at least two per section)!**
3. Define indicators that you consider relevant to assess impacts of your project in the **customised** sections.
4. Strike a good balance of **both GHG and Co-benefits** indicators based on your Outcomes.
5. Think about both **quantitative** and **qualitative** evidence that can signal change
6. Consider the **future availability of the data** necessary to select the relevant indicators.
7. Consider roles/capacities in **your team and local partners** to organise the data streams (collection, analysis, synthesis) for future reporting (if selected).
8. Kick-off data collection after successful selection and initiate impact assessment!

Funded by
the European Union

Sensemaking for continuous learning

Sensemaking: A **structured** **social learning process** of observation, reflection, synthesis, pattern-finding and **generating insights** to enable *decision-making, improvement & peer-exchange.*

- Based on **Signals of Change & Intended Outcomes**
- Periodic **sessions** to support **insights** reporting
- Enables **reframing** original assumptions through testing and experimentation to **improve and adapt**



- Up to four **Collective Sensemaking** Rounds are planned, one in-person event.
- **Budget** will be available for the travel.

Funded by
the European Union

Any questions or comments?

Next session: ECT2 Challenges & Synergies Exploration

16th October 14:30-16:00

Register for the session here



Funded by the European Union



Funded by the European Union

Get in touch with NetZeroCities!

@NetZeroCitiesEU

NetZeroCities

NetZeroCitiesEU

NetZeroCities EU

www.netzerocities.eu

hello@netzerocities.eu

Funded by
the European Union